## COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA JOINT STATE GOVERNMENT COMMISSION

## PUBLIC PENSION MANAGEMENT & ASSET INVESTMENT REVIEW COMMISSION MEETING AND HEARING

STATE CAPITOL HARRISBURG, PA

IRVIS OFFICE BUILDING ROOM G-50

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 12, 2018 9:30 A.M.

## **BEFORE:**

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAEL TOBASH, CHAIRMAN

TREASURER JOSEPH TORSELLA, VICE-CHAIRMAN

JAMES BLOOM, COMMISSIONER

BERNIE GALLAGHER, COMMISSIONER

MICHAEL TORBERT, COMMISSIONER

1	STAFF INCLUDED:
2	SUSAN BOYLE
3	ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
4	LLOYD EBRIGHT PROGRAM MANAGER, TREASURY
5	
6	STEPHEN KRAMER COUNSEL, JOINT STATE GOVERNMENT COMMISSION
7	YVONNE HURSH COUNSEL, JOINT STATE GOVERNMENT COMMISSION
8	
9	ELISE YODER PROJECT MANAGER, JOINT STATE GOVERNMENT COMMISSION
10	KAYLA LUKENS ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT, JOINT STATE GOVERNMENT COMMISSION
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	* * * * *  Toint State Correspond Commission
25	Joint State Government Commission Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * *
3	CHAIRMAN TOBASH: With the hour of 9:30
4	having arrived, we appreciate everyone's attendance. We
5	want to call this meeting of the Public Pension Management
6	and Asset Investment Review Commission to order.
7	I appreciate everyone here in the audience.
8	I can't acknowledge everyone. I'll acknowledge my two
9	friends from the House of Representatives, Representatives
10	Miller and Ryan. Thank you for being here. I see we've got
11	some Senate and House staff. And I see that people from the
12	systems are here. We also have House legal counsel. So
13	welcome, and let's get things under way.
14	We'll go through our attendance. I see that
15	all of our commissioners are in attendance today. I would
16	entertain a motion to approve the minutes from our previous
17	meeting. I believe everyone has a copy of those minutes
18	from the meeting of October 25th, 2018.
19	Do I have a motion to approve those minutes
20	as submitted?
21	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: So moved.
22	COMMISSIONER TORBERT: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN TOBASH: A motion by Commissioner
24	Bloom, second by Commissioner Torbert.
25	Those in favor?

(Unanimous vote.)

1.3

2.2

CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Thank you.

Okay, so we've got a lot of work in front of us today. We've got volumes of documents and iterations of those documents. And I will again thank the Treasurer's Office and our consultant and everyone who has worked so hard, including Joint State Government, for putting the documents together.

Today's session, unlike our previous sessions, is really going to be a working session among the commissioners with potentially an opportunity for some comments after we wrap things up. We've had some discussions individually. I've had an opportunity to reach out to the other commissioners and just get their idea on how the process is going. I think the feedback so far has been favorable.

We continue to try and make this process as open and transparent and working towards a consensus document as we possibly can. I appreciate the spirit in which all of the commissioners are working together, and I appreciate the hard work that everyone has done so far.

So I'm just going to give a little time line.

We've done extensive work. As I've mentioned many times, I

am so grateful and impressed by the stature and expertise

that has come to Pennsylvania to help assist us in this

endeavor. It has been acknowledged several times that

Pennsylvania is an outlier in many areas, but I'll tell you

the idea that this commission exists now and Pennsylvania is

taking a look at an opportunity that we have got to perform

better in something that the rest of the nation and, in

fact, world faces. And that's -- even the promises that

have been promised to their retirees, their employees. And

it's really important work that we do. So I am personally

grateful and thankful. And I take a look at the documents

and there are volumes and there is much information and

expertise that has gone into the work.

2.2

The first draft was distributed to the commissioners on November 19th, a lengthy document. The second on November 30th, the second draft. And at this time, we have got a draft in our hands. We have got some discussion to have about that draft. And as we go to work today, hopefully, we can come to an agreement on most everything in the document and get together on December 20th and have a final vote.

So with that said, I'll ask for comments from our vice-chair, Vice-Chair Torsella.

VICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA: Thank you, Chairman.

Let me just start by echoing what you said, which is a word of thanks, one, to you and to our colleagues as commissioners, all of whom I think have brought a really

useful perspective and rigor to this discussion, and to everyone who's been following or a part of this, to the staff at -- all of our staffs, especially Treasury's in my case, and to Joint State Government Commission, and finally, to all the witnesses who made themselves available and participated in the process. It's really something to be proud of.

2.2

As you indicated, we've had a series of drafts, progressively more and more complete. Final piece, which I would like to distribute, with your permission, to the commissioners is the executive summary to the drafts that we had. I thought it might be helpful. I mean, we've all read this and thought about this. I thought it might be helpful if I briefly went through it and gave an executive summary of the executive summary of our discussion.

But first noting the history of this commission and its importance, as you had said, in a modern public policy context. I'll pass these out. (Hands.)

Second, going over the hearings that we had and the goals that were legislatively given to the commission, the report in the end identifies — and these are, of course, estimates — a savings that on an actuarial basis over 30 years would work out to be between a range of 8.2 and 9.9 billion. So meeting our minimum target and making real progress in the broader target identified in the

legislation.

2.2

Noting some caveats that this process, like as all processes, has limitations on what we can do and see. And that volume of data, the size, there inevitably are going to be mistakes that have been made, but it doesn't detract from its broad conclusions. An important caveat is, we say in the executive summary, as is said also in the draft report, that the conclusions and recommendations of this commission are not intended to cast blame on asked actors or decision makers who are making decisions in good faith. Hindsight may be 20/20, but our point in looking back is to gain insight to use to adjust how we might go forward.

Broadly, it points out some of the things in our charge to report widely and detailed on a variety of things that are worth noting and applauding. Both systems, in particular, noting PSERS adopting important elements around stress testing and taking steps that may be more transparent than other retirement systems on a disclosure of fees, as well as its abrasive passive-based investing strategies for public equities and its recent disclosure on carried interest. SERS, in particular, commended for their focus on costs, which is over, I believe, a 10-year period, resulted in a 50 percent reduction there for having comparatively robust benchmarks, as we've heard, and for

1 some other items.

2.2

And then finally noting, I think the theme that we've all heard is that there were factors at work here that were well outside the control of either system, most notably, the Commonwealth's unfortunate payment history when it comes to the actuarial determined amount.

Then noting the significant findings in which we think the funds need to do better. Their performance, as we heard from RCI, against a peers and against a risk-adjusted basis against a multiasset portfolio. Both funds' costs relative to peers and the various things we've heard about, areas of risk and concern in current allocations and practices.

Broadly and without changing the recommendations that follow in the detailed chapter, the executive summary highlights kind of six high level recommendations. First, the urgency and importance of maintaining the full payment of the arc, again, underscoring that as the uniform view of the commission. Second, establishing a central investment office. We're not replacing existing systems, it would be an agreement in addition to them to leverage the scale and benefits that come with that. Third, enacting legislation mandating annual stress testing drawn in line with Blue Ribbon Panel practices. Fourth, establishing policies in both systems in

favor of encouraging transparency around reporting. Fifth, specific note on repealing existing laws that may stand in the way of doing that. Sixth, moving to fully indexed public market investments in both systems, as well as the other identified cost savings, some of which have more detail. And finally, a series of measures in both systems to reexamine and reduce risks that were brought to the attention of the commission.

1.3

2.2

as well as some specific costs coming out of those recommendations -- some general discussion around the themes of the commission's work, starting with the legislation that fathered and mothered it, one, around the importance of costs and the uncertain world of investments controlling them, and two, around transparency to stakeholders as a path to better and best practice performance.

Finally, sort of ending where I think many of us started, a note that in the abstractions in 400-plus pages of material, the importance of all of us recognizing that behind these numbers and ratios, in fact, are real people who have served the Commonwealth with distinction and this commission is ultimately about making the retirement that we promised them more secure.

And then a note on kind of change, which is, for any of us, difficult. But recalling what we heard from

some witnesses early on in the process, even when it's uncomfortable looking at some of these issues can -- in fact, in other places has been -- a catalyst to kind of reinvigorate and reenergize a look at how we structure and organize and operate our pension systems in a way that takes us all to a better place.

1.3

2.2

And with that hope, Mr. Chairman, I will conclude my summary of the summary, which is a summary of 400 pages. But again, I thank everyone for a really robust and helpful process here.

CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Thank you.

You know, in the conversations, I think that many of us are in a position to approve a document very similar to this. However, some feedback, we've gotten some feedback, and the largest amount of feedback we've got is from Commissioner Gallagher.

And I think that, again, as a working group today, I think that we should address some of the concerns and questions that you might have. So I'm going to give you the floor at this point in time, Commissioner Gallagher, to go through some of the questions you've got and we can discuss this within our group, and hopefully come to some resolution. And I think our ability to get on the same page and have a consensus document is important and we're going to do our best to do that.

1 COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: Thank you, 2 Mr. Chair. 3 Can everyone hear me? Is this on? 4 (Nods.) 5 COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: Okay, very good. 6 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 7 And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. I think the 8 outline that you just went over was nicely capturing the spirit of the commission and the work that's been done here 9 10 at the table in this room, but also behind the scenes and 11 that I appreciate quite a bit. Your staff has done a 12 yeoman's job, the Joint State Government Commission's staff 13 has done a yeoman's job, and it shows in the materials that 14 we have. 15 Now, you may not be aware that we have had 16 the opportunity to review the most recent draft that was 17 distributed a couple of weeks ago. And in our best efforts, 18 we went through it to identify areas of commonality and 19 areas that we just wanted to bring to the commission's 20 attention and we'd like to discuss. We did submit that 21 information to Treasury for their consideration. And as 2.2 such, I'm not quite sure how much of that got integrated. 23 So I'm confident a lot did, but I can't confirm that at this 24 point. However, knowing that there's still work at hand 25 behind the scenes, I'm optimistic much of it is being

integrated or considered for the commission's approval later
next week.

We have been working very, very hard behind

the scenes to also...

2.2

VICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA: Chair, if I can just clarify?

Yeah, the draft -- we've all gotten pieces of drafts. The draft that we have now is not the final draft. There's an ongoing process of commented integration.

COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: Okay.

So, yeah, to that point, we also -- just to add additional perspectives to the conversation, our caucus, with approval from our leadership, thought it was important just to also find other perspectives to supplement, not to plant anything that's already been brought forward to the commission.

And with that, I'm going to distribute -- I know nobody at this table has had the opportunity to review and digest this. And hopefully, over the next, over the course of the week, you'll have the opportunity to do so. And we very much welcome your feedback on that.

We would, however, like to keep it in its entirety to some degree, which is to say to have it included as an appendix or something to that effect. And then reference at the beginning of the document, preferably on

```
page 1 or page 2, that it may be integrated to this
executive summary to some degree, indicating that there is
an appendices with different perspectives in addition to
those that are in the larger body.

So if that makes sense, I'm going to
distribute the document.

We could go through piece by piece. I don't
```

2.1

2.2

think that's valuable to the commission's time because a lot of the issues that we had had motifs associated with them, and as such, that is, will have been throughout the document. And it would be redundant to bring it over and over again on each page in ways to find a copacetic manner with which to reflect the body's, or the commission's opinions.

So that being said, there's a lot of, there's a lot of strength in keeping the current document, wherever it is in its development, as is, with reference to this document as an appendices at the end of the document. So each are kept in its entirety. The thought process is kept in its integrity and as such to be submitted as one document for the commission's approval.

So with that being said, I'll distribute this document. I know it's not something that you can easily read in front of an audience. (Hands.)

CHAIRMAN TOBASH: So let's talk about some

logistics now. Our plan is to have another meeting on the 20th, so eight days from now. And I think we've checked with all the commissioners and they're available on that day. And the idea would be to vote a final product on that date.

1.3

2.2

Commission Gallagher, I understand your request, that this material not be altered and include as an appendices, at a time when you're making requests that this document be altered, the main document. So why don't we take a little bit of time right now and get, similar to what the Treasurer has done, a summary of your -- you don't have to go in depth or by page -- just give us an idea of the areas that you may have found that there's a need to add additional commentary and perspective to a document that is already quite lengthy.

COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: There were three basic higher level areas of review. One was with respect to data. The underlying larger report has a robust amount of data that, while I do have some expertise with respect to pension governance and the digestion of certain metrics, only those who practice this on a daily basis could truly integrate and be able to identify the positives and perhaps some negatives with respect to the perspectives shared within the document. And as such, with the assistance of an outside consultant that we engaged with, we were able to

identify areas that may or may not need a different perspective. It's not to take away anything from that which was shared by the current, the experts that are already embedded in the larger document, but rather to have other perspectives on how to interpret that data within. So data is one component.

2.2

Further to that point is, much of the data within -- there's really only one other entity, except engaging outside of this state or within the state with an expert who understands the complexities as such, are the systems themselves. However, the information was not shared with them at any point in this process, and as such, their perspectives, doing it on a daily basis, were not able to be integrated. So I think data is one overall arching issue that we identified. And you can find that interspersed throughout the almost 400-, 500-page document. So that is one component.

The other is --

CHAIRMAN TOBASH: So the idea is the data interpretation. You have a perspective in here (indicating) that data interpretation may be, you know, from different points of view, may be interpreted differently and you're pointing that out in this document.

COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: Exactly. And so, I mean, just using it at different time frames, you can have a

different perspective on performance. So that's just to give a juxtaposition for the consumer to understand different ways of looking at the relative performance of each system to its peers and to the universe of plans out there. So that's one motif.

1.3

2.2

within the document which gave me, in particular, some pause, as well as others in our caucus. As a consumer of government documents, typically there's a very objective, very unemotional pros/cons approach. And I think about the Congressional Budget Office Report. This has a little bit of a stronger weight in some of the language used within, and as such, we felt like a more toned down document may be beneficial to the conversation, not to take anything away from the larger document. However, we thought it was important to lend a perspective that was a little bit, I don't know, suffice it to say, colder and just to the point. So there's that.

And then finally, another issue that we thought was relevant was integrating pros and cons as often as possible. As I was saying, if you look at the Congressional Budget Office Report, they are often showing, in any perspective of data, a range of perspectives that are broad in nature. And there are instances within the document that perhaps a more, a broader range of options or

discussion could have been added. And we attempt to just identify instances of that, not every single one.

2.1

2.2

So those are the three broad topics in the underlying document you've received, it will supplement and just support and add to.

So again, to your point, Chairman, if there's language in this document or anything in here that any commissioner would object to, then we would absolutely be amenable to that process, as well.

to me. Data can be interpreted differently. We all know that, understand that. That's one of the major themes in this document. Number two, the tone, you'd like us to be softer and gentler in our conversation to the general assembly and the Governor about the hard work that the systems have done. And number three, integrating pros and cons because with every action, there's sometimes an inverse reaction or an unintended consequence that takes place. And you're pointing out in this document that that very well may be the case.

Vice-Chairman, you know, the idea that we, if we are going to be here on the 20th, that we have an opportunity to take a look at this. And as Commissioner Gallagher has agreed, if there's a commissioner that has got some idea that, wow, there's something in here that they are

really not in favor of including, even in an appendix, how much time do we have to do that? What's your feeling on logistics? And how would we accomplish what we're trying to get done?

2.2

WICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA: Well, as a practical matter, I don't think we have all of the week between now and the 20th. But as a practical matter, I also think we have a little bit of time. But the spirit in which all of us have worked, I think, has been to share submissions widely and to try to hear points. And we're agreeing with some, disagreeing with others, and integrating some.

So if I'm understanding what Commissioner

Gallagher's proposing, I think it's workable. I think it's
in the same spirit with which the draftsmen shared, that
there is a perspective report that he's asking to be
referenced in the text and included as attachments. I don't
think it's a complicated role that he's asking us -- that
he's saying that he's open to amendments that, based on our
review of it -- understanding that's not -- just like the
reverse. So we're trying to sort of be open to some, not
all, of the suggestions from Commissioner Gallagher.

I think that's workable. And again, what it means -- and this will not shock the commissioners who spent their Thanksgiving reading 300 pages -- what that means is all of us probably need to have a look at this fairly

quickly and get back to you with comments. But if the broad question on the table is, as I think it is, are each of us prepared to support a draft substantially like this, with these recommendations, with the additional changes going on, with the inclusion of Commissioner Gallagher's report referenced in the report and attached with some changes, I mean, I for one am prepared to do that. I think the report is solid, it has and will be improved by continuing feedback, some which is taken, some which isn't. And an alternative perspective is welcome and I think that that's something I'm prepared to get behind.

1.3

2.2

I think we can do it logistically. I think the practical matter is that I suspect we should try to have comments to Commissioner Gallagher on this in something like two days-ish, not one week-ish.

Is that -- I'm waiting to be contradicted by the people that have to make this work.

CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Okay. So I think that the idea here is this -- and I can tell you this, we are at this date, we're at the 12th of December -- and we really want to wrap it up by the 20th. And we have been as flexible as we can with dates, and we've actually pushed voting the document back from today to the 20th. But we should not be much more flexible with dates because we're going to run into a logistical problem.

So the spirit of the idea here is, if there's 1 2 a little bit of seasoning that needs to be added to this, we 3 can do it. A little bit of salt and pepper to this, we can 4 probably do that. But by the end of business tomorrow, I 5 believe that that information should be communicated to the 6 commissioners, to Commissioner Gallagher, if we want to do 7 some of those changes. And, Commissioner Gallagher, to you, from you 8 9 to anyone, and then we've got a short period of time to 10 digest that and then agree or agree to disagree. But I 11 think that we are very close. And my estimation of what you 12 have submitted here is that, the spirit of what you have 13 mentioned here, what you have submitted here, I'm not going to have issue with it. 14 15 Can I have comments on that from our other 16 commissioners? 17 COMMISSIONER TORBERT: When do you want us to 18 reply to this? 19 CHAIRMAN TOBASH: By the end of business 20 tomorrow. 2.1 COMMISSIONER TORBERT: Tomorrow. 2.2 COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: Mr. Chairman and 23 Vice-Chairman Torsella, I thank you for being receptive to 24 what we recognize as being a very late addition to the 25 conversation, as well as the latitude with respect to

```
providing additional time to get this in. We worked as fast
 1
     and as diligently as we could. And recognizing that the
 2
     work needs to be completed and will be completed, but we
 3
 4
     still appreciate the receptivity and the latitude you've
 5
     offered during this process. So thank you.
 6
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Great.
 7
                    You've checked with your counsel?
 8
                    VICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA: Yeah. Remarkably,
 9
     and typically, we've gotten almost nowhere. I think,
10
     Commissioners, we can have till Friday morning --
11
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Friday morning?
12
                    VICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA: -- sort of opening
13
     of business, rather than...
14
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: So the end of the day on
15
     Thursday.
16
                    VICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA: So to have comments,
17
    yeah, back -- any comments on anything.
18
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Great.
19
                    COMMISSIONER BLOOM: That will give us
20
     Thursday night to look as this, as well, which would be very
21
    helpful.
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Friday, 9 a.m. Okay.
2.2
23
    Great. Good.
                   Thank you.
                    COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I'd just like to ask,
24
25
     are there direct -- and you don't have to go into any
```

details or anything like that, Commissioner Gallagher -- but 1 2 are there direct contradictions to some of the findings that 3 we've had or are they more or less comments on the findings 4 we've had? 5 COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: I believe you'll 6 find both. There are a couple of instances where an expert 7 we engaged with had a very different conclusion, but tried 8 to catch it respectfully. However, there's a lot of alignment that I 9 10 think you'll see. I think that what the Treasurer had 11 outlined in the beginning in his opening comments, you'll 12 find is shared. A lot of the comments concerning paying the 13 arc and transparency, and Blue Ribbon Panel 14 recommendations -- with one caveat -- and you'll find that 15 there's a great deal of alignment. 16 There's just a couple of sticking points in 17 terms of how the performance of the systems can be perceived 18 or looked at, and through different lenses. So that kind of 19 gives you a general sense of it. 20 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I think that's very 21 helpful. 2.2 CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Commissioner Torbert. 23 COMMISSIONER TORBERT: Just quickly. I 24 appreciate another point of view. I'm always big on other 25 points of view or different points of view coming from

investment backgrounds.

2.1

2.2

Going through this whole thing has been very complex. There's no question about it. These are amazing systems and it's hard for a lot of people to understand. Is there any process in, going on right now, where the systems go around the state and talk and give presentations to the different areas of the system to help them understand better? Because it's very complex and I can see where different people would have different points of view.

in that the bullet systems do extensive outreach trying to educate the members about not only planning for retirement, what they need to be asking, but also helping them understand what their benefit means relative to where it is out there in the marketplace. And they're trying to give value and understand how the state appreciates the work that they do, and that this is a reflection of a change that we're seeing throughout the global economy, but also to identify the wonderful thing that we do have and how we can preserve it. So they do extensive outreach in both systems.

COMMISSIONER TORBERT: I think that's critical. I've always had to -- when I was investing people's money, I always wanted to try to get them in the same boat, rowing in the same direction. And the more I educated them -- because I believed that part of my job was

1 educating my clients. So I think that the more you can do 2 that in a way that they can understand, as to what's going 3 on, I think that's a great idea. Because it is a complex 4 system and it's a great system. 5 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: May I have the floor 6 again? 7 CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Certainly, Commissioner 8 Bloom. 9 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: There was also a 10 somewhat late addition by the Senate Democrats about 11 diversity. I think that came on Monday, if I'm not 12 mistaken. It might have been late last week. And I know 13 that quite a bit of work and research by the, my caucus, 14 went into that. It's a short document. It's seven pages. 15 And the -- I think there are some things that 16 we can do. I don't want to get into a lot of details, but I 17 think that if we're going to improve or have it as one of 18 our goals, which I hope we do, diversity, that the most 19 important part of this is we, the systems have got to 20 aggressively -- and it says it in the report -- look for 21 places where they can get diversity. 2.2 And what happens is, in generally speaking, 23 our consultants have sort of a stable of folks they work

with, they work with in different states and different

places. And they're not always the same. So there is a

24

25

list that we can compile of minorities, women, disabled, who are in this business. And certainly, our first responsibility, the first responsibility of the systems, is always to the fiduciary, but we want to make sure that that list is expanded as much as it can be. And if it needs to go, if we need to charge the systems' consultants with working harder on finding diverse candidates, then that should be done. 

1.3

2.2

I mean, to give you just an example of what different consultants do -- both systems are trying to get the best they can get as far as the finest and the best investments they can possibly make, okay? But if you take a look at just the private equity where there's now, I guess, with the noncore, not including the noncore which has just been sort of given to a third party -- not given, but negotiated to a third party -- it seems to me there's about 200 private equity investments in each system, okay? There are only 32 overlaps of that 200. So I think what that says is that your consultants, whether it's Hamilton Lane or StepStone, are talking to different people. Otherwise there would be more than 15 percent overlap between the two systems.

So I'm simply pointing that out as an example that there are qualified and good women, minority-owned, and disabled general partners and money managers out there, but

if we just sit here and wait for them, we're not going to

see them. We've got to put some pressure on our consultants

to help us bring more, better selections into, or more

selections that fit diversity. Otherwise, we're never going

to get there, okay? It's got to be aggressive.

2.1

2.2

I actually had a conversation the other night with the Governor regarding this. And he and I both ran small businesses for a long time.

I don't know what it's like to run the cabinetry business, but I know what it was like to run a trading operation on the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, and folks were not knocking on our doors. I had to go out and recruit. I went to the Wharton School and other places and found diversity within, you know, that very small circle that you can go.

So I hope that's a part of the report and I hope it's part of the core results of the various suggestions or recommendations, strong recommendations, that we're making.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for giving me all that time.

CHAIRMAN TOBASH: You're welcome. And thanks for carrying that from your appointees.

I'm just going to go back to this again to understand practically how we're going to do this by Friday

```
morning at 9 a.m. We're going to give feedback through the
 1
 2
     commission to the Treasurer's Office. And on Friday, then,
 3
     we are going to communicate, reconcile, and potentially
 4
     alter something. We don't expect there will be anything --
 5
                    VICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA:
                                            We're also going to
 6
     give feedback through the commission structure to
 7
     Commissioner Gallagher.
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Well, exactly, so we're
 8
     going to communicate within the commission's structure.
 9
10
     if something needs to be altered, what day do you expect the
11
     final document to go to print, or does it need to go to
12
    print?
13
                    VICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA: It does.
                                                       T think
14
     that will have to be Friday.
15
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: So Friday at 9 a.m., you
16
     expect to get feedback. If there's something to the
17
     contrary of something we've got there, it's going to be
18
     communicated, reconciled, and altered on that day?
19
                    VICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA: I think
20
     substantially, there's been a lot of feedback. There's a
2.1
     new document to which feedback has been invited. Both those
2.2
     things have to end on Friday.
23
                    MR. CRAIG: So Friday -- our biggest
24
     challenge is the thick document and getting that reproduced.
25
     We're aiming for 20 copies to get it all -- it has to be
```

```
formatted, it has to look attractive. All the charts and
 1
 2
     graphs have to be of common size. We want to spell-check
 3
     and grammar check and everything the document. That has to
 4
     go to print by Friday. People will work over the weekend
 5
     and have --
 6
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: So close of business
 7
     Friday?
 8
                    MR. CRAIG: I would ask if people could
 9
    possibly, to do it earlier than close of business Friday.
10
     But we will get it to print close of business.
11
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: So it comes to my earlier
12
    point that if somebody is going to read this and object, and
13
     the latest date is Friday at nine o'clock, you have precious
14
     little time to change this. I mean, I would say Thursday at
15
     noon at the latest, to give at least 24 hours to vet it if
16
     there's a question.
17
                    VICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA: Did you conspire
18
     with him?
19
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: No, but I mean --
20
                    VICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA: Chairman, it's your
21
     call on where you want to go.
2.2
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: We'll extend it.
                                                        Instead
23
     of close of business on Wednesday, let's do Thursday at
24
    noon, because if a discussion needs to be had, I think we
25
     could have it within 24 hours of changing anything in the
```

```
1
     end.
 2
                    Is that fair? Commissioners, can you handle
 3
     it?
 4
                    COMMISSIONER TORBERT:
                                           Thursday at noon?
 5
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: There's seven pages, it's
 6
     only seven. And remember, it's seven more than the --
 7
                    COMMISSIONER BLOOM: It's more than seven.
 8
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: -- 400 we've got in our
 9
     hand right now.
10
                    And then your document is a 25-page document.
11
                    COMMISSIONER BLOOM: The diversity document
12
     has been out for a couple of days, since Monday.
13
                    So if I have a question with the document
14
     that the House Democrats and Bernie has presented here,
15
     where do I take it? Do I talk to Bernie or do I talk to the
16
     Treasurer's Office who's writing this? Do I talk to the
17
     Chairman? What do I do if I have a problem with something
     that's in this?
18
19
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: So we've been communicating
20
     through the Joint State Government, but I think at this
2.1
     point in time, at this late hour, it's fair to say, copy the
2.2
     commissioners and include the Treasurer's Office, include
23
     Christopher Craig, and if you could, be so good as to
24
     include Susan Boyle, as well.
25
                    So why don't we distribute a little
```

```
communication group, all right? And if someone has
 1
 2
     questions, we'll utilize that before noon on Thursday.
 3
     Fair?
 4
                    COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: That's fine with me.
 5
     Should we loop in Joint State Government Commission as --
 6
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Certainly.
 7
                    Good?
                    (Nods.)
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Great.
 9
10
                    How about other comments?
11
                    COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Well, I'll start in with
     just saying a lot of folks did an awful lot of work.
12
     Joint State Government has done -- I think Bernie said it
13
14
     all. I think your word was yeoman-like. I don't know what
15
     a yeoman is, but -- the Treasurer's Office and all that
16
     they've done, and I think the staff of the various caucuses,
17
     an awful lot of people put a lot of time into this.
18
                    And I think that the commission should also
19
     congratulate itself for the amount of work it's done and the
20
     discussions that we've had, all the one-on-one discussions
2.1
     we've had with each other. It's a document, I think, we
2.2
     should -- assuming that we can get through the 21 and 7
23
    pages, which I don't think will be a problem -- I think the
24
     document speaks for itself. And I'd like to thank
25
     everybody. It was a great honor for me to serve on this
```

commission and to continue to serve on it.

2.2

I might also add that, with a few exceptions, anytime I called anybody at SERS or at PSERS, I've gotten nothing but 100 percent cooperation. I spent a lot of time with Tim Grossman. He gave me three hours one day, answered a lot of my questions, and a couple we didn't quite come to a conclusion on. But I think the systems, at least from my point of view, were extremely helpful in getting information to me. I also got a call from Hong Kong from Bryan Lewis about some questions I had. There's still some questions I have that I suppose will never get answered -- won't get answered now. They'll get answered eventually.

And I do want to thank both you, Terri, and you, Glen, for the help that you gave me in this process.

One last thing is, you mentioned the Senate

Democratic Caucus and diversity, it's one of the reasons I'm

on this commission, is to make sure that we have a strong

and active diversity program. It's personal to me, as well.

I just wanted to make that point. I'm not just

representing -- hopefully, I represent the caucus well, but

it's also one of the things that I've seen over 30-, 40-plus

years, is so much of the good old boys network in this

business. And anything that we can do aggressively to stop

that, or help that, and move along with diversity would be,

I just think would be terrific.

1 And that's all I had to say. And again, I 2 thank the Chairman for giving me the opportunity. 3 CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Great. Thank you. Commissioner Torbert? 4 5 COMMISSIONER TORBERT: I'm fine. 6 CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Good. 7 Bernie, any closing comments? 8 COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: Just -- there is an area that probably warrants the commission's discussion. 9 10 And it's something that we can all agree to in terms of, 11 this commission is charged with identifying opportunities 12 for improvement. There are some things that may be beyond 13 the scope statutorily, but there can be a nexus to the 14 underlying goal of reducing fees and to improve transparency 15 and improve the bang for the buck that the state puts in, 16 taxpayers put in, and that is with respect to consolidation. 17 The question isn't so much the what, it's the how with 18 respect to this. And given the robust and wide-reaching 19 areas of discussion that this commission has had to look at 20 and what has been a whirlwind six months, it just has 21 absolutely been a very, very deep dive in so many directions. 2.2 23 The far-reaching implications of putting in 24 place a governance structure for how investments are 25 selected and implemented and putting that dollar to work,

33

```
really matters much on the front end. And so there's been a
 1
 2
    model that's been presented and it's absolutely something
 3
     that we welcome for consideration. But we want to throw it
 4
     into the mix of getting a consultant in to help judiciously
 5
     walk slowly through -- or expeditiously, depending on how
 6
     the expert determines it. We may already be in a position
 7
     to move quickly on it. But to bring in an outside expert
 8
     that can help the general assembly and the Governor arrive
     at a sound investment office plan.
 9
10
                    What we have in front of us could be that
11
    plan. But if there's some way that we can modify this
12
     language, maybe in the executive summary or elsewhere in the
1.3
     next couple of days, that would give me a great deal more
14
     comfort in moving forward. But right now, having a specific
15
     structure, it just gives me a great deal of pause, not
16
     because of the specific structure itself, but rather, are we
17
     looking -- does the general assembly, does the Governor have
18
     enough in front of them to make a sustainable decision?
19
                    So with that, I just wanted to put it on the
20
     table for the commission to consider some changes in that
21
     space.
2.2
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Comments, further
23
     discussion on that?
                    VICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA: Well, I guess we can
24
25
    have further conversation about it.
```

I think the recommendations to the general assembly and the Governor is one that would not happen next week and it will include kind of fleshing out things beyond our level of consideration. But happy to have, in the minimal time the Chairman has given, happy to have a further conversation about the particular language.

2.2

CHAIRMAN TOBASH: So let me tell you what I love about this document, okay? First of all, it's a document. We do not have the authority to impose a further entity or structure upon the systems or on the legislature or the administration. We've got a document here that I think has some really broad themes. Number one, simplify, throughout the document we take a look at that. You've got a governance board that I think deserves some simplification in the information that they get to make the decisions to govern the systems. I think number two, communicate. You know, the communication that I've seen and heard from our subject matter experts warrants some changes, and I think that there's room for improvement, and then fairly compare.

And your point on data can be interpreted differently, there's no question about it. But I think if you come up with some industry standards from ILPA and from the Blue Ribbon Commission and you get a little bit more consistent, the comparisons will be fair. And in the market, if you're not performing as well as others, then you

should be better. And then you have an opportunity to change.

2.2

So within this document, there is also specifics, but they are specific recommendations that need to be vetted either through the systems with the way they operate or through action from the general assembly that will ultimately be debated and agreed upon by an administration.

So there's an awful lot in here, but it is a product that at the end of the day, I think gives board members of these systems an opportunity to look at things that maybe they haven't looked at before, maybe ask a few more questions.

This is not a mandate. This is a document that suggests something and the opportunity to say, "We should do it a little bit different," exists as we move forward and try to implement the entire document.

It is my belief that the ultimate responsibility falls on the shoulders of the general assembly. They established these systems in the 1920s, 1917, 1920s. Number two, they set the benefit levels. They determined the payment structures. At the end of the day, they need to take a look at how those systems are operating within the governance structure that they have established to make sure that they are doing the very best they can.

And at the end of the day, the implementation of this document may, in some fashion, be a result of the systems taking a look at that and saying, "Hey, that's not a bad idea," or it may be with some actions from the administration, or the general assembly can take up some of these recommendations and try and change the structure that we operate in right now.

2.1

2.2

So I hear you loud and clear, but I think it's a mistake to think that we're going to walk out of this room after we vote on this document next week and say that all of a sudden we have changed everything. We haven't changed it, we have an opportunity to change it. And this document, I think, lends itself to a path where other experts have weighed in and said, "Here's some good ideas. Why don't you consider them?"

VICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA: If I may, I don't want to stop us from getting to the work that we now have as quickly as we can, but just, none of the recommendations in this go to, quote, unquote, governance. We've heard things from people who had something to say about it.

Recommendations and summaries don't consolidate an office based on what we've heard. There are a variety of ways that can evolve in practice. But it's important to note that that's a recommendation about striving to capture and leverage some efficiencies, which

```
1
     has been a lot of the discussion.
 2
                    But in any case, I know the time is ticking,
 3
     so I won't take any more, Mr. Chairman.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: So with that being said, we
 5
    hear you, okay? And I think that the deadline of Thursday
 6
     at noon will give an opportunity to specifically add some
 7
     seasoning to this. We'll take a look at what you have
     submitted today. We'll cut it off there. Hopefully, we'll
 8
     get to press Friday, midday, and we'll convene again on the
 9
10
     20th of December at 9:30. And we'll have a document in our
11
     hand that we will be prepared to take action on.
12
                    Are there any further questions from any of
13
     the commissioners?
14
                    (No response.)
15
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Great. With that said,
16
     I'll take a motion to adjourn today's meeting.
17
                    VICE-CHAIRMAN TORSELLA: So moved.
18
                    COMMISSIONER TORBERT: Second.
19
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: All in favor?
20
                    (Unanimous vote.)
21
                    CHAIRMAN TOBASH: Thank you. Thank you
2.2
     everyone who has attended and thank you everyone who has
23
     participated and for all your hard work.
24
                    (The meeting concluded at 10:20 a.m.)
25
```

## CERTIFICATION I hereby certify that the proceedings are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the within proceedings, and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same. Summer A Miller Summer A. Miller, Court Reporter Notary Public